SACON NATIONAL PROPERTY AND CODE 25002

KRIN079 065112134 1303 12 05/10/04 FORWARD TIME EXP RTN TO SEND KRINSKY'MARY M 5 NORWAY RD 5 NORWAY RD 100RTH HAVEN CT 06473-2932 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OFFICIAL BUSINESS IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS WASHINGTON, DC 20231 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,235	01/13/2000	John L. Wood	OCR-729/756	6715
7590 05/05/2004			EXAMINER	
Mary M Krinsky			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
79 Trumbull Street New Haven, CT 06511-3708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
r •	09/482,235	WOOD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brenda Coleman	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	<u>ecember 2003</u> .					
,	, 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,8-16,19-24,26 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,8-16,19-24,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	v					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority dilder do 0.0.0. g 1 rold	, (a) 5. (.).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	Fried.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claims 3-5, 8-16, 19-24, 26 and 27 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment dated December 29, 2003. Claims 3, 8, 9, 11-13, 16, 19-21, 23 and 24 have been amended, claims 1, 17 and 25 have been canceled and claims 26 and 27 are newly added.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed December 29, 2003 have been fully considered with the following effect:

1. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 3) of the last office action, the applicant's amendments and remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants failed to comment on the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-25 which is directed to the definition of X where "X represents S and/or O".

Claims 3-5, 8-16, 19-24, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

2. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 102 anticipation rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-24 of the last office action, the applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The instant claims are not described in the applicants priority document and thus are only entitled to benefit of U.S. Application No. 08/817,230 filed June 4, 1997 as it is only completely described in the U.S. Application No. 09/206,082 filed December 4, 1998. Note In re Scheiber 199 USPQ 782 regarding 112 compliance for benefit under 35 USC 120. The applicants are urging benefit of provisional application No. 60/002,164, however, since the instant application is not claiming benefit of 60/002,164 directly, they are not entitled to benefit of August 11, 1995. Provisional application No. 60/002,164 must be pending at the time of filing of the non-provisional application. The applicants cannot ignore the fact the there are several non-provisional applications between the filing of the provisional and the instant application where the definition for instant R is not described. Hence, applicants are only entitled to a filing date of December 4, 1998.

Claims 3-5, 8-16, 19-24, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood et al., Tetrahedron Letters, for reasons of record and stated above.

3. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 102 anticipation rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-24 of the last office action, the applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The instant claims are not described in the applicants priority document and thus are only entitled to benefit of U.S. Application No. 08/817,230 filed June 4, 1997 as it is only completely described in the U.S. Application

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

No. 09/206,082 filed December 4, 1998. Note In re Scheiber 199 USPQ 782 regarding 112 compliance for benefit under 35 USC 120. The applicants are urging benefit of provisional application No. 60/002,164, however, since the instant application is not claiming benefit of 60/002,164 directly, they are not entitled to benefit of August 11, 1995. Provisional application No. 60/002,164 must be pending at the time of filing of the non-provisional application. The applicants cannot ignore the fact the there are several non-provisional applications between the filing of the provisional and the instant application where the definition for instant R is not described. Hence, applicants are only entitled to a filing date of December 4, 1998.

Claims 3-5, 8-16, 19-24, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood et al., Journal of American Chemical Society, for reasons of record and stated above.

- 4. The applicants' amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph labeled paragraph 7 in the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 5. The applicant's amendments and arguments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled a), b), c), d), e), f), g), i), j, k) and l) of the last office action, which are hereby **withdrawn**. However, with regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections labeled h) the applicant's amendments and remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1624

h) The applicants' stated that "claim 12 is now dependent on claim 26, which affirmatively recite that R can be methyl or HOCH₂". However, claim 26 does not affirmatively recite that R can be HOCH₂.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, for reasons of record and stated above.

In view of the amendment dated December 29, 2003, the following new grounds of rejection and/or reinstated rejections apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 26 and 27 and claims dependent thereon are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the process of claim 26 and 27 where R includes the definition where R is saturated or unsaturated, branched, linear, or cyclic alkyl, heteroalkyl, aryl, and heteroaryl; and mixtures of the foregoing, wherein hetero refers to O, S, N, or P is not described in the specification.

Art Unit: 1624

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

April 30, 2004